

Why stellar feedback promotes disc formation in simulated galaxies

Hannah Übler^{1*}, Thorsten Naab¹, Ludwig Oser², Michael Aumer¹, Laura V. Sales³, Simon White¹

¹*Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, 85741 Garching, Germany*

²*Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA*

³*Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

Accepted ????. Received ??? in original form ???

ABSTRACT

We study how feedback influences baryon infall onto galaxies using cosmological, zoom-in simulations of haloes with present mass $M_{\text{vir}} = 6.9 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ to $1.7 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. Starting at $z = 4$ from identical initial conditions, implementations of weak and strong stellar feedback produce bulge- and disc-dominated galaxies, respectively. Strong feedback favours disc formation: (1) because conversion of gas into stars is suppressed at early times, as required by abundance matching arguments, resulting in flat star formation histories and higher gas fractions; (2) because 50% of the stars form *in situ* from recycled disc gas with angular momentum only weakly related to that of the $z = 0$ dark halo; (3) because late-time gas accretion is typically an order of magnitude stronger and has higher specific angular momentum, with recycled gas dominating over primordial infall; (4) because 25–30% of the total accreted gas is ejected entirely before $z \sim 1$, removing primarily low angular momentum material which enriches the nearby inter-galactic medium. Most recycled gas roughly conserves its angular momentum, but material ejected for long times and to large radii can gain significant angular momentum before re-accretion. These processes lower galaxy formation efficiency in addition to promoting disc formation.

Key words: methods: numerical — galaxies: evolution - formation

1 INTRODUCTION

In current cosmological models the formation of galaxies is connected to hierarchical clustering. Small structures form first, grow, and merge into larger objects. In this framework, galaxies form through the cooling of gas at the centers of dark matter haloes where it condenses into stars. To match the observed properties of galaxies and galaxy clusters, purely gravitational processes on their own cannot account for cosmological structure formation but gas dissipation processes have to be considered. Therefore it was suggested early on (White & Rees 1978) that at high redshift gas has to be prevented from excessive cooling into dense regions possibly by feedback from massive stars (Larson 1974; Dekel & Silk 1986; Navarro & Benz 1991). Mechanisms like gaseous galactic outflows were proposed to remove potentially star forming low angular momentum material at early times during the formation of galaxies (e.g. Binney, Ger-

hard & Silk 2001). Direct observational evidence of the last decades underlines potential impact of feedback events (e.g. Martin 1999; Theuns, Mo & Schaye 2001; Heckman 2003; Simcoe et al. 2006; Grimes et al. 2009; Steidel et al. 2010; Sturm et al. 2011).

Numerical simulations of galaxy formation are an important tool for understanding the impact of feedback. In simulations, the stellar components of galaxies are usually made from cooling halo gas and from gas and stars added by mergers. During gas poor stellar mergers the orbitals of stars are scrambled, the stellar systems become dynamically hot, and a significant part of the stellar angular momentum is transported outwards to the dark matter component (e.g. Barnes 1992; Barnes & Hernquist 1992). To produce dynamically cold and thin stellar discs, the accretion of high angular momentum gas from outer regions of the haloes is needed in the more recent past (Fall 1979). This calls for gas reservoirs at low redshifts as well as for feedback processes at higher redshifts to avoid early over-cooling and overly efficient star formation.

* E-mail: hannah@mpa-garching.mpg.de

Some progress has been made in simulating galaxies by increasing resolution (Abadi et al. 2003; Governato et al. 2004) or applying more elaborate models for the interstellar medium and star formation (e.g. Robertson & Kravtsov 2008; Governato et al. 2010; Christensen et al. 2012; Hopkins, Quataert & Murray 2012; Hopkins, Narayanan & Murray 2013) or for stellar feedback (e.g. Sommer-Larsen, Gelato & Vedel 1999; Thacker & Couchman 2001; Okamoto et al. 2005; Scannapieco et al. 2008; Agertz, Teyssier & Moore 2011; Dalla Vecchia & Schaye 2012; Stinson et al. 2013; Hanasz et al. 2013; Aumer et al. 2013; Vogelsberger et al. 2013). Commonly known problems in disc galaxy formation such as undersized disc galaxies and the significant loss of angular momentum from gas particles to the dark matter component, known as the angular momentum catastrophe (e.g. Navarro & Benz 1991; Navarro, Frenk & White 1995; Weil, Eke & Efstathiou 1998; Navarro & Steinmetz 2000; Maller & Dekel 2002), have been worked on for several years. By now, many of the past problems have been solved and more veritable disc galaxies can be formed (e.g. Scannapieco et al. 2009; Guedes et al. 2011; Piontek & Steinmetz 2011; Agertz, Teyssier & Moore 2011; Brook et al. 2012b; Aumer et al. 2013; Marinacci, Pakmor & Springel 2014).

In particular the study of the evolution of the gas component in simulations will help to better understand the physical processes regulating galaxy formation. Oppenheimer & Davé (2008) and Oppenheimer et al. (2010) introduced the concept of ‘wind recycling’, describing fluid elements which are ejected in a wind, then re-accreted and ejected again or, alternatively, condensed completely into stars. They tracked the gas particles during hydrodynamical SPH simulations and monitored if and how often they entered a wind mode. Most ‘wind’ particles do not stay in the intergalactic medium (IGM) but are re-accreted onto the galactic halo possibly several times. They may never actually reach the IGM but circle within a so called ‘halo fountain’, the name chosen as reference to the ‘galactic fountain’, first introduced by Shapiro & Field (1976), which describes a similar process on smaller galactic scales. The halo fountain is the dominating recycling process at late times in the simulations of Oppenheimer et al. ($z \leq 1$), when the wind particles typically remain within the parent halo.

Brook et al. (2011) found in their simulations with supernova-powered outflows that during the assembly of the galaxy, low angular momentum material tends to be ejected at early times when the potential wells of the forming galaxies are still shallow. In addition, gas is primarily ejected perpendicular to the disc whereas inflow occurs mainly in the disc plane (but see Ford et al. 2013). In a subsequent paper Brook et al. (2012a) found that the ejected gas is sometimes re-accreted at later times with additional angular momentum gained through mixing with hot corona gas. While the ejection of gas was found to be an important process at all galaxy mass scales, the redistribution of angular momentum via the re-accretion of gas in galactic fountains gets more relevant for higher mass galaxies. Brook et al. therefore concluded that galactic fountains may lead to the formation of high-mass disc galaxies.

For a more detailed understanding of the effects of different feedback implementations a direct comparison is required, i.e. different feedback models applied to the same initial conditions. This was studied, for instance, in the

Aquila comparison project by Scannapieco et al. (2012), who compared the outcome of simulations with identical initial conditions but 13 models with differing hydrodynamics and feedback schemes. The differences they found underline the importance of direct code comparison and might encourage further work in this direction (see also e.g. Okamoto et al. 2005; Sales et al. 2010; Piontek & Steinmetz 2011).

In this paper, we present a study of the assembly history of baryonic matter in two sets of SPH simulations with different feedback implementations but identical initial conditions. We follow the impact of the feedback from massive stars right from the beginning of our simulations until the present day. Thereby we can study its effect on the assembly of the baryons which starts long before they are accreted onto the galaxy and in many cases may even prevent this.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we describe the simulations and the different feedback models used for the comparison. Section 3 contains an analysis of general differences in the simulations regarding baryon conversion, angular momentum distribution and mass accretion. In Section 4 we present a more detailed analysis of the accretion history of gas and stars onto forming galactic discs, including a study of the angular momentum distribution of the gas. We summarize and discuss our results in Section 5.

2 SIMULATIONS

We compare two different galaxy formation models, one with weak feedback favouring the formation of spheroidal galaxies (Oser et al. 2010) and one with strong feedback supporting disc formation (Aumer et al. 2013).

Zoom-in simulations using the weak feedback model were presented in Oser et al. (2010, 2012), where a description of the numerical details can be found. The model uses a modified version of the parallel TreeSPH code GADGET-2 (Springel 2005), including star formation and supernova feedback following Springel & Hernquist (2003) and cooling for a primordial gas composed of hydrogen and helium. Additionally, the simulations include a redshift-dependent UV background radiation field with a modified Haardt & Madau (1996) spectrum. A subgrid model is used to account for the multiphase structure of the gas, where clouds of cold gas are embedded in a hot surrounding medium. Feedback is implemented on a subgrid level as thermal heating from supernovae, with an energy release of 10^{51} erg per supernova that is directly given to the ambient hot gas and in addition evaporates nearby cold clouds. The heated gas is available for star formation as soon as it has radiated away enough energy as the feedback is not explicitly ejective. The masses for the gas and star particles in this model vary from halo to halo over a range $m_{*,\text{gas}} = 2.87 - 7.37 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, whereas the dark matter particles have masses of $m_{\text{dm}} = 1.50 - 3.62 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. Each star particle forms from one gas particle. The comoving gravitational softening lengths used are $\epsilon_{*,\text{gas}} = 200 - 225 \text{ pc } h^{-1}$ for the gas and star particles and $\epsilon_{\text{dm}} = 445 - 500 \text{ pc } h^{-1}$ for dark matter. From now on, we refer to this model as ‘WFB’.

The second model uses a version of GADGET-3 with a multiphase treatment of gas, and with star formation, metal production, cooling, turbulent metal diffusion, thermal and kinetic supernova feedback, and radiation pressure in the neighbourhood of young stars. The basic features of this




Figure 1. Edge-on mock three-colour *ugr* images and cold gas densities of the central galaxies in the 0977 models at redshifts $z = 0.0; 0.37; 0.85; 1.1; 1.3; 1.5; 2.5$ for WFB (upper rows) and SFB (lower rows). In the SFB model a disc-like structure develops already at early times ($z = 2.5$), survives a subsequent merger, and evolves to a flat spiral galaxy by $z = 0$. In the WFB model the galaxy undergoes several mergers with smaller satellites which are visible on all images with $z \geq 0.37$. At $z = 0$, the stellar component of the galaxy has a spheroidal shape, whereas the cold gas forms a thin disc. Each image is 60 kpc wide.

model were developed by Marri & White (2003) and Scannapieco et al. (2005, 2006) and have been extended by Aumer et al. (2013), where a detailed description of the simulations can be found. This feedback model assumes that the released energy per supernova, 10^{51} erg, is split between kinetic and thermal energy. This energy is distributed in equal shares to neighbouring hot and cold gas particles, where cold gas is defined by temperature $T < 8 \times 10^4$ K and density $n > 4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. It should be noted that the gas particles decouple based on their thermodynamic properties. The thermal feedback immediately heats hot gas particles, whereas the energy distributed to cold gas particles is accumulated until there is enough energy present to turn the cold gas particle into a hot gas particle. This mechanism produces large-scale outflows which remove gas from the galaxy so that it is not longer available for star formation. Kinetic feedback is given to the gas particles via momentum transfer. It disperses dense, star-forming regions and can contribute to galactic fountains. The effect of reducing thermal feedback in favour of kinetic feedback is weak, whereas the effect of the kinetic feedback on the star formation efficiency in turn reinforces the thermal feedback. Another feedback mechanism that is included in this model is radiation pressure from young massive stars. It is modeled as a continuous momentum transfer, where the parameters defining the rate of momentum deposition are chosen in a way that star formation is suppressed in turbulent environments. These are

observed directly in high-redshift discs (see e.g. Genzel et al. 2008). The initial masses for gas and dark matter particles are the same as in WFB but the masses of gas and stellar particles change during the simulation through supernova feedback, winds of asymptotic giant branch stars, and metal diffusion. The comoving gravitational softening lengths used are $\epsilon_{*,\text{gas}} = 200 - 225 \text{ pc } h^{-1}$ for the gas and star particles and $\epsilon_{\text{dm}} = 450 - 500 \text{ pc } h^{-1}$ for dark matter. From now on, we refer to this model as ‘SFB’.

For the presentation of our results we picked galaxies that were simulated with these two different feedback models but with the same initial conditions. These were taken from cosmological hydrodynamical simulations of individual haloes from Oser et al. (2010) and from the Aquarius Project (Springel et al. 2008; Scannapieco et al. 2009).

The haloes from Oser et al. (2010) are refined zoom-in simulations of regions from a dark-matter-only simulation with parameters $h = 0.72$, $\Omega_B = 0.044$, $\Omega_m = 0.260$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.74$, and $\sigma_8 = 0.77$. See Oser et al. (2010) for details. Our analysis includes the haloes named 0977, 1192, and 1646. The Aquarius haloes are refined zoom-in simulations of regions from the Millennium II simulation (Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2009) with parameters $h = 0.73$, $\Omega_B = 0.040$, $\Omega_m = 0.250$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.75$, and $\sigma_8 = 0.9$. Our analysis includes the haloes Aquarius B and Aquarius D.

In the main part of the paper we present results primarily for halo 0977 but they hold in general for all our haloes.

We note that 0977 has the second most extended gas and stellar disc of all 19 models of Aumer et al. (2013) at $z = 0$. It was shown in Wang et al. (2014) that the size and structure of the gas disc are in agreement with observations of gas-rich disc galaxies. Selected corresponding figures for haloes 1192, 1646, Aquarius B, and Aquarius D are shown in the Appendix. For noteworthy confirmations or variations of our findings we refer to those haloes in the text. Characteristic values for all models are summarized in Table 1.

We will see in our analysis that the stronger feedback in SFB significantly affects the gas accretion histories. In this case we find strong outflows, the gas travels long distances and can leave the galactic disc for several Gyrs or more. Sometimes the angular momentum of the gas changes significantly during this process. In addition, the formation of stars is strongly suppressed particularly at early times.

3 GLOBAL EVOLUTION

In this section we investigate the impact of strong stellar feedback on the morphology and the physical properties of the simulated galaxies and on the assembly history of baryonic matter. We show a comparison of the morphological evolution of the galaxies and their cold gas densities in halo 0977 in Fig. 1 with weak feedback in the upper rows and with strong feedback in the lower rows. The procedure for the creation of these images is described in Aumer et al. (2014), but here we leave out the dust obscuration for better visibility. Edge-on is defined by the angular momentum vector of the cold gas. The stellar component of the galaxy in the WFB model has a spheroidal shape that is only slightly flattened at all depicted redshifts. Several small spheroidal satellites are accreted and visible in all images, except at $z = 0$. A thin cold gas disc is perceptible from $z = 1.5$ to $z = 0$. For SFB both the gas and the stellar components have an extended disc-like shape at all depicted redshifts that is only disturbed for a short time by a merger at $z \sim 1.3$. At $z = 0$ the galactic disc shows a minor warp that is due to an ongoing merger where the central galaxies are still spatially distinct but they already have some influence on each other.

3.1 Baryon conversion efficiency

The large mass of stars formed already at early times in the WFB model is one of the features distinguishing the two feedback models in Fig. 1. Also, several small spheroidal satellites are accreted and visible in all WFB images for $z > 0$, implying that the conversion of gas into stars is more efficient in these objects. To quantify this, we take a look at the baryon conversion efficiency, which is defined as $\epsilon_\star = M_{\star, \text{central}} / (f_B \cdot M_{\text{vir}})$, where $M_{\star, \text{central}}$ is the stellar mass of the central galaxy, M_{vir} is the halo virial mass, and $f_B = \Omega_B / \Omega_m$ is the baryon fraction (see e.g. Guo et al. 2010; Moster et al. 2010). Stellar particles are considered to be part of the central galaxy if they lie within r_{15} , i.e. within 15 per cent of the virial radius of the halo, R_{vir} (defined as the radius where the mean density exceeds 200 times the critical density of the universe).

Looking now at the galactic stellar mass and the associated halo mass at different redshifts, we find that baryon conversion efficiencies in the SFB model are significantly




Figure 2. Baryon conversion efficiency, $\epsilon_\star$ as a function of virial halo mass for the model with weak (WFB, filled circles) and with strong feedback (SFB, filled triangles) at $z = 0$. For halo 0977 we add the evolution of $\epsilon_\star$ since $z = 2$ (grey crosses). Abundance matching estimates at $z = 0; 0.15; 1; 2$ (lines) are taken from Moster et al. (2013) and the respective values for halo 0977 are highlighted correspondingly. The SFB model agrees reasonably well with the abundance matching.

lower than for WFB (cf. Table 1; see also the discussion in Aumer et al. 2013). This is shown in Figure 2, where we plot $\epsilon_\star$ as a function of halo mass for each halo and for both feedback models at $z = 0$. For halo 0977 we also plot the evolution of $\epsilon_\star$ since redshift $z = 2$ (grey crosses). For comparison, the expected conversion efficiencies from Moster, Naab & White (2013) are shown for the redshifts $z = 0; 0.15; 1; 2$.

Regarding halo 0977, the SFB model gives reasonable results for $z = 2$ and $z = 1$, but at $z = 0$ the conversion efficiency is low. For WFB the conversion efficiencies are too high at all redshifts. For both models the galaxies experience a major merger shortly before $z = 1$ (red symbols). In the SFB model, there is a second major merger ongoing at $z = 0$, where the haloes have already merged, but the central galaxies are still distinct. Also, the star formation rates in SFB are low after $z = 1$ (see Aumer et al. 2013). This explains the low $\epsilon_\star$ values in SFB around $z = 0$ and the offset in virial mass between the two models. A companion is also present in the WFB model but still separated from the halo of the central galaxy. We show comparative values of $\epsilon_\star$ at $z = 0.15$, before the last merger in SFB, when the virial masses of the two galaxies are still comparable. Even if we add the central stellar mass of the companion at $z = 0$ in SFB, the conversion efficiency is below the expected value, with $\epsilon_\star \approx 0.1$ ($\sim 1.25\sigma$ low). We note that the conversion efficiency in halo 0977 at $z = 0$ shows the strongest discrepancy of all models presented in Aumer et al. (2013) from the predictions of Moster, Naab & White (2013). As apparent from Fig. 2, all other SFB models discussed in this work scatter more closely around the predictions from abundance matching for $z = 0$. Also, the present-day halo masses of the respective WFB and SFB models of each halo are more alike.

model		M_{vir} [$10^{11} M_{\odot}$]	R_{vir} [kpc]	$M_{\star}$ [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$]	M_{gas} [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$]	f_{gas}	$\epsilon_{\star}$	$z_{1/2}$	J_{bar} [kpc km s $^{-1}$]	f_{eject}	$\dot{M}_{\text{sf}}$ [$M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$]	$f_{\star, \text{rec}}$
0977	WFB	7.1	181	6.5	0.4	0.06	0.53	2.25	472	0.07	0.50	0.13
	SFB	12.9	220	1.2	1.9	0.60	0.09	1.20	2171	0.62	0.93	0.48
1192	WFB	12.0	216	10.5	0.6	0.03	0.51	2.25	318	0.05	0.01	0.02
	SFB	10.0	202	5.0	1.5	0.24	0.29	0.43	1541	0.44	2.33	0.50
1646	WFB	9.5	200	7.1	0.3	0.04	0.43	2.69	177	0.05	0.13	0.02
	SFB	8.1	189	1.7	1.4	0.47	0.12	0.46	734	0.53	0.93	0.31
AqB	WFB	6.9	176	6.6	0.2	0.03	0.57	2.69	255	0.09	0.32	0.05
	SFB	7.1	176	1.9	1.2	0.39	0.16	1.08	1629	0.52	0.82	0.52
AqD	WFB	17.0	237	12.0	0.4	0.03	0.42	3.32	338	0.09	0.37	0.04
	SFB	14.8	227	5.5	0.8	0.13	0.22	0.65	1555	0.53	1.41	0.53

Table 1. Halo and feedback model, halo virial mass (M_{vir}), virial radius (R_{vir}), galactic stellar mass ($M_{\star}$), galactic gas mass (M_{gas}), galactic gas mass as a fraction of baryonic mass (f_{gas}), baryon conversion efficiency ($\epsilon_{\star}$), half mass formation redshift of the archaeological star formation history ($z_{1/2}$), specific angular momentum of the baryons (J_{bar}), total mass of disc gas which is ejected by $z = 0$ as a fraction of the total mass of first accreted disc gas (f_{eject}), $z = 0$ star formation rate within the disc ($\dot{M}_{\text{sf}}$), fraction of $z = 0$ disc stars which formed out of recycled disc gas ($f_{\star, \text{rec}}$).




Figure 3. Archaeological star formation histories for WFB (dashed lines) and SFB (solid lines) for all studied galaxies. The arrows at the upper axis give the half-mass formation redshifts. Half of the stellar mass formed before $z = 2$ in WFB, while the curves for SFB are relatively flat with half-mass formation redshifts between $z = 1.2$ and $z = 0.4$.

3.2 Star formation history

As a consequence of the high $\epsilon_{\star}$ values in WFB there are not only more stars, but the stars are also older. This can be seen from the colours of the galaxies in Fig. 1. In the WFB model, old (red) stars dominate the appearance of the galaxy at $z = 0$ whereas the SFB model is bluer. The shift in stellar ages towards younger stars in SFB is even stronger for the other haloes, as is shown in Fig. 3. Here, we plot the archaeological star formation histories (i.e. dated by the age of the stars) of all stars within r_{15} at $z = 0$ for WFB (dashed lines) and SFB (solid lines) galaxies with the same colour scheme as in Fig. 2. The half-mass formation redshifts (arrows) are computed using the present-day mass of the stars. Most of the galactic stars in the WFB model are older than 10 Gyr with half-mass formation redshifts




Figure 4. Specific angular momentum of the baryonic content of the galaxy ($r \leq r_{15}$), $J_{\text{bar,gal}}$ as a function of specific angular momentum of the dark matter halo, $J_{\text{dm,vir}}$ at $z = 0$ for WFB (circles) and SFB (triangles) for all studied haloes. The open grey symbols show the $z = 0.15$ values for halo 0977; the open magenta symbols show the $z = 0.47$ values for halo 1192. The black line gives the 1:1 ratio. The baryons in the SFB model have approximately the virial angular momentum.

$z \geq 2$ for all galaxies (dashed arrows). In contrast, the half-mass formation redshifts for the SFB models shift towards $1.2 > z > 0.4$ (solid arrows). Although the distribution of stellar ages is not continuous, the curves for SFB are much flatter, which is in agreement with observations of nearby galaxies with masses of $\sim 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ (Heavens et al. 2004). The fraction of younger stars (< 4 Gyr) is distinctly higher in SFB; in WFB nearly all of the present-day galactic stars formed before $z = 1$. For a more extensive discussion of star formation histories in the SFB model we refer to Aumer et al. (2013).




Figure 5. Distribution of $j_z / (R_{\text{vir}} \cdot V_{\text{vir}})$ for dark matter within R_{vir} (black), galactic stars (red) and gas (blue), for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). The dark matter distribution is normalized to the dark matter mass within the plot range, the lines for galactic gas and stars are normalized to the baryonic mass within r_{15} . The stars in SFB are decoupled from the dark matter distribution and fewer stars are counter-rotating.

3.3 Angular momentum distribution

Besides the stellar content and age distribution of the stars, feedback plays an important role in setting the angular momentum content of the simulated galaxies. Analytical models of disc-galaxy formation suggest that the specific angular momentum of the baryons locked up in galaxies should be similar to that of their dark matter halos in order to reproduce the observed scaling relations (e.g. Mo, Mao & White 1998; Dutton et al. 2007). We compare in Fig. 4 the total specific angular momentum J of the dark matter halo (measured within R_{vir}) and that of the baryons for each galaxy (gas as stars within r_{15}). We use the same colour scheme as in Fig. 2, circles and triangles correspond to the WFB and SFB runs, respectively. For halo 0977 (grey) we also show with open symbols the results at $z = 0.15$, just before the merger in the SFB run discussed in Sec. 3.1, and for halo 1192 (magenta) we show values at $z = 0.47$, taking account of halo interaction and misaligned gas infall at later times in the WFB run.

We find a clear segregation between the two models. In the WFB run, galaxies have only ~ 25 per cent of the specific angular momentum of the dark matter halo. Instead, the same objects run with the strong feedback model have comparable or even larger specific angular momenta than their haloes, as indicated by their location above the 1:1 line. This is consistent with the more disc-like morphologies obtained in the SFB runs (see Fig. 1). Notice that the strong feedback implementation allows simultaneously for a small fraction of the baryons to be turned into stars –in agreement with abundance matching predictions– but those baryons are able to retain a specific angular momentum similar to that of the halo. This ability of feedback to efficiently redistribute the angular momentum of the baryons such that the stars have comparable specific angular momentum to that of the halo is the key to form realistically-looking disc galaxies

in modern simulations (cf. Agertz, Teyssier & Moore 2011; Aumer et al. 2013; Marinacci, Pakmor & Springel 2014).

A closer inspection of the angular momentum distribution in these objects reveals a suppression of counter-rotating stars for the strong feedback case. That is because the fraction of accreted stars in SFB is low (~ 15 per cent) with most galactic stars formed *in situ* out of disc gas particles (see Sec. 3.4 and Table 3). Fig. 5 shows for halo 0977 the distribution of j_z at the present day, where j_z is defined as the z -component of the specific angular momentum after each system has been rotated such that the galaxy’s total angular momentum is aligned with the z -axis. For the alignment of the galaxy, baryonic matter inside three times the half mass radius of the stars in the galaxy is used. The red and blue histograms show, respectively, the j_z values of the stars and gas within the galaxy; black is used for the dark matter halo. The distributions have been normalized to the baryonic mass within r_{15} for stars and gas, and to the dark matter mass within the range plotted ($\sim 0.9M_{\text{vir}}$ for WFB and $\sim 0.8M_{\text{vir}}$ for SFB). Notice that roughly 25 per cent of the stars in the WFB case are counter-rotating, which is consistent with the overall low angular momentum content of the system and its spheroid-dominated morphology in the upper row of Fig. 1. In comparison, this fraction is smaller than 15 per cent in the SFB run, where most stars co-rotate coherently in a disc (halo 1192 is the most extreme case of our set with 38 per cent (WFB) vs. 8 per cent (SFB) counter-rotating stars). The relative heights of the red and blue histograms in Fig. 5 reflect the different contributions of stars and gas to the baryonic content of these objects. Galaxies are more gas-rich and rotate faster in the strong feedback case. In the following, we investigate in detail the origin of the different angular momentum distributions for these two models.

3.4 Accretion onto the halo and the central galaxy

Due to the impact of strong feedback on baryon conversion efficiency, the models vary strongly in the amount of gas and stars that is accreted onto the haloes. It should be emphasized that ‘accretion’ not only includes accretion of ‘primordial’ gas but also the repeated accretion of cycling gas particles. While this process is of little importance on the halo scale for either model, it becomes a key feature for the accretion onto the central galaxy and the galactic disc in the model with strong feedback. For halo 0977, about four times more stars are accreted in the WFB model (see also the weak feedback model of Naab, Johansson & Ostriker 2009), but only around 60 per cent of the gas mass as compared to the SFB version. Still, the fraction of accreted stars is relatively high in the SFB model (~ 8 per cent) compared to other haloes in this study because of the ongoing $z = 0$ merger. The majority of the accreted gas in WFB remains in the halo until $z = 0$, a smaller amount is converted into stars, and the rest of the accreted gas, 18 per cent, is ejected by $z = 0$. In contrast, 36 per cent of the accreted gas is ejected in the SFB model by $z = 0$. At this point, it should be noted that particles bound to a substructure that only ‘flies by’ are also counted as accreted and then ejected. The respective mass values are given in Table 2.

The same analysis is performed for baryonic matter that is accreted onto the central galaxy ($r < r_{15}$), visual-

mass [$10^{10}M_{\odot}$]	WFB	SFB
total accreted stars	4.18	0.96
total accreted gas	12.13	20.04
(includes repeated accretion events)		
first accreted gas	10.35	17.61
• % cycling	11	6
• % not cycling	39	46
• % condensed within R_{vir}	32	12
• % ejected by $z = 0$	18	36
$f_B \cdot M_{\text{vir}}$	12.10	21.91

Table 2. Respective masses of the different accretion modes onto halo 0977. The total accreted gas mass has the expected order of magnitude for the given virial mass at $z = 0$ for both models.

mass [$10^{10}M_{\odot}$]	WFB	SFB
total accreted stars	4.56	0.29
total accreted gas	5.32	14.82
(includes repeated accretion events)		
first accreted gas	4.35	7.80
• % cycling	2	14
• % not cycling	8	9
• % condensed within r_{15}	57	22
• % ejected by $z = 0$	33	55

Table 3. Respective masses of the different accretion modes onto the central galaxy (within r_{15}) for 0977 as shown in Figure 6.

ized in Fig. 6, where we plot the star and gas particles that are accreted onto the galaxy between redshifts $z = 4$ and $z = 0$, $f_{\text{acc}}(z \leq 4)$, sorted by how often they have been accreted onto the halo, N_{acc} . The solid red column on the left ($N_{\text{acc}} = 1$) represents the direct accretion of stars, the black histogram indicates gas accretion sorted by the number of accretion events. The blue regions contains all gas particles




Figure 6. Fraction of baryons accreted onto the central galaxy (within r_{15}) in halo 0977 since $z = 4$ as a function of the number of accretion events for WFB (left panel) and for SFB (right panel). With stronger feedback direct stellar accretion is less relevant, the mass of gas undergoing several cycles grows, star formation is suppressed, and more gas leaves the galaxy by $z = 0$.

which are still a member of the central galaxy by $z = 0$, the red region indicates the amount of stars that formed from the accreted gas particles within the central galaxy, and the grey region represents gas particles that were ejected from the central galaxy by $z = 0$. The corresponding masses are given in Table 3. The dominant accretion mode in the WFB model is the accretion of stellar particles, followed by one-time-only accreted gas particles. The direct accretion of stars makes up for about 50 per cent of all accreted baryonic material since $z = 4$. The fraction of cycling gas particles (2 per cent) is relatively small and most particles complete only one cycle ($N_{\text{acc}} = 2$). The conversion of gas into stars in the WFB run is very efficient with 57 per cent of the galactic gas particles turned into stars until $z = 0$. The stars dominate the mass of the central galaxy at $z = 0$. Around 10 per cent of first accreted gas particles are present in the galaxy at $z = 0$, and 33 per cent are ejected until $z = 0$.

The behaviour is quite different in the strong feedback model. Direct star accretion now accounts for only around 5 per cent of the accreted baryons and the peak of the distribution shifts towards gas accretion. The dominant accretion mode is single accretion, accounting for ~ 50 per cent of the total. The fraction of cycling gas particles rises to 14 per cent, which accounts for half of the total accreted gas mass (see right panel of Fig. 6). The amount of gas ejected by $z = 0$ (grey region) is 55 per cent, but only 22 per cent of the primordial accreted gas particles turn into stars by $z = 0$. (The amount of gas that condenses to stars within r_{15} rises significantly for 1192 and Aquarius D, cf. Table A1 and Table D1.) The remaining gas in the central galaxy at $z = 0$ (Table 3) is much more than for WFB, both in absolute mass and as a fraction total baryonic mass. This latter fraction is ~ 60 per cent for SFB but only around 7 per cent for WFB. We note that the $z = 0$ gas fractions for the SFB models, which range from 13 to 60 per cent (see Table 1), are on average too high (Wang et al. 2014) but are nevertheless closer to observation than the low gas fractions of the WFB models (see e.g. Peebles & Shankar 2011; Saintonge et al. 2011 and Tables A1, B1, C1, D1).

4 DISC ASSEMBLY HISTORY

For a more detailed investigation of the particular histories of the galactic gas particles in the different simulation runs we restrict our particle set to ‘disc particles’ by using a measure for the orbital circularity of individual particles in the galaxy. The circularity of a particle is defined as j_z/j_c (c.f. Abadi et al. 2003; Scannapieco et al. 2009), where j_z is the z -component of the specific angular momentum of a single particle and j_c is an approximation for the specific angular momentum of the particle on a circular orbit at the same radius. To calculate j_c , a spherically symmetric potential is assumed: $j_c = r \cdot v_c(r)$. Thus, particles with $j_z/j_c \sim 1$ are on orbits which are close to circular, as expected for particles associated with a disc. We now pick particles with the following properties as disc particles:

- $j_z/j_c = [0.8; 1.2]$ or $j_z/j_c = [-1.2; -0.8]$
- $|z| < 3 \text{ kpc}$
- $r < 0.15 \cdot R_{\text{vir}}$.

With the possibility of negative values for j_z/j_c , we account in principle for counter-rotating disc particles, which are, however, of minor importance in the simulation presented here (but see halo 1646 in Appendix B that develops a counter-rotating disc). The second criterion sets a limit to the height above the disc plane up to which particles are counted as disc particles. The generous choice of r ensures that the particles of the extended discs that form in this simulation in the SFB model are part of the analysis. It should be noted that the orientation of the disc and thus the total angular momentum vector can change over several Gyrs of evolution. Due to the very different assembly histories of the galaxies in the two feedback models, these changes evolve in different ways for WFB and SFB. The angle between the total angular momentum of the WFB galaxy and the total angular momentum of the SFB galaxy at $z = 0$ is 50° for halo 0977.

It is clear that the results concerning the galactic disc are in general dependent on disc definition and resolution. However, they differ substantially for the two feedback models due to the details of the feedback implementation.

4.1 Accretion history

In general, accretion onto the galactic disc is similar to accretion onto r_{15} . Most accreted gas particles form stars in WFB, and for SFB the number of recycled particles, the average number of accretion events, and the number of ejected particles by $z = 0$ is significantly larger. Nearly 90 per cent of the particles accreted onto r_{15} are also accreted onto the galactic disc in SFB, but only around 40 per cent in WFB, showing again that the disc is less prominent in the weak feedback model.

In the top panel of Fig. 7 we present the gas accretion histories onto the disc, $\dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$ as a function of cosmic time. We separate gas that is accreted for the first time (solid lines) from gas that is re-accreted (dashed lines). In the WFB model (blue solid line) the rate of first accretion peaks at early times at about $6 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and then decreases to a low level, $\sim 1 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, after ~ 6 Gyr. The rate of re-accreted particles peaks at 5.5 Gyr, then becomes unimportant, and increases again to a rate similar to the rate of first accreted particles in the last three Gigayears.

The situation for the strong feedback model (green) is different and the accretion rates are considerably higher. The peak of first accretion, $\sim 12 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, is shifted towards ~ 5 Gyr. At this time the galaxy experiences a major merger (cf. Fig. 1, images at $z = 1.5; 1.3$) which is barely visible for the WFB model. This merger influences the later accretion history of the SFB galaxy (see Fig. 8). After the merger, accretion stays at a relatively high level ($\sim 5 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$) after ~ 6 Gyr. In the SFB model first accretion is primarily in the form of gas rather than stars (see Fig. 6). Even more interesting is the fact the re-accretion of gas starts to dominate after ~ 6 Gyr, underlining the importance of gas recycling for the evolution of the galaxy in this model. The re-accretion peak at $z = 0$ is partially caused by the tidal elongation and the warping of the galactic disc through the ongoing merger (cf. Fig. 1), but we note that the re-accretion of gas at late times is generally dominating in the SFB models (cf. e.g. Fig. D1). The re-accretion rates of particles with travel distances greater than 10 kpc are indicated by the




Figure 7. Top panel: Gas accretion rate onto the disc separated into first accretion (solid lines) and re-accretion (dashed lines; filled regions for particles with $\Delta r_{\text{cyc}} > 10$ kpc) as a function of cosmic time and redshift for WFB (blue) and SFB (green). Re-accreted particles dominate the accretion rate in SFB at late times. Middle panel: Gas ejection rate from the disc separated into ejection followed by re-accretion (solid lines) and final ejection (dashed lines) as a function of cosmic time and redshift for WFB (blue) and SFB (green). Bottom panel: *In situ* star formation rate within the disc as a function of cosmic time and redshift for WFB (blue) and SFB (green). Strong feedback results in an almost constant star formation rate.




Figure 8. Recycling times for WFB (blue) and SFB (green) in Gyr. Particles which are re-accreted within the last Gyr are shown by the filled areas. There is a strong trend towards higher recycling times in SFB.

filled regions (cf. Sec. 4.2 for a definition and discussion), indicating that most of the gas cycles close to the galaxy in a galactic fountain.

The re-accretion rates closely follow the ejection rates, which are shown in the middle panel of Fig. 7 as solid lines. Here, particles that have been ejected and did not return by $z = 0$ are indicated by the dashed lines. Whereas almost no gas is ejected in WFB, there is nearly constant mass loss for the SFB model at $\sim 3M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}$ (again, the peak at $z = 0$ is related to the ongoing merger in the SFB case). We quantify the difference in gas ejection vs. accretion in the two models by measuring the total mass of disc gas particles which are ejected by $z = 0$ as a fraction of the total mass of first accreted disc gas particles, f_{eject} . With $f_{\text{eject}} = 0.62$ in the SFB model, this value is ~ 9 times higher than for the WFB model, underlining the effect of the strong feedback model on gas ejection (see Table 1 for the respective values for the other haloes).

In the bottom panel of Fig. 7 we plot the *in situ* disc star formation rate, $\dot{M}_{\text{sf}}$ as a function of cosmic time. We find higher formation rates at earlier times for WFB, reflecting the high baryon conversion efficiencies in the weak feedback model, and higher formation rates at later time for SFB that are fed by the (re-)accreted gas particles (see Table 1). If we compare the ratio of the gas ejection rate to the *in situ* star formation rate, the mass loading factor η , we get significantly higher values in SFB ($\eta \geq 10$) than in WFB ($\eta \sim 1$) at all times, consistent with the lower conversion efficiency. In the strong feedback model, the mass of ejected material is at all times higher than the mass formed in stars, but the gas reservoir in the disc is constantly refilled by recycled gas. To quantify the correlation between *in situ* formed stars and recycled disc gas (cf. also Brook et al. 2013), we measure the percentage of $z = 0$ stellar disc particles which have formed from recycled disc gas, $f_{\star, \text{rec}}$. Whereas we find $f_{\star, \text{rec}} = 0.48$ for the strong feedback model, the corresponding value in the WFB model is only 0.13. We note that this is also the highest fraction in the sample for the WFB model (cf. Table 1). This should have significant consequences for the chemical evolution of the disc.




Figure 9. Travel distances for WFB (blue) and SFB (green). Particles which are ejected by $z = 0$ are shown as the filled areas. The arrows indicate the respective galactic radii (r_{15}) at $z = 0$. The typical travel distance is higher in SFB.

4.2 Recycling times and travel distances

In Fig. 8 we plot the recycling time (or delay time), Δt_{cyc} , indicating how long a particle is out of the disc before being re-accreted again. Using a different feedback model it has been argued that most of the gas is re-accreted after 1-2 Gyrs (cf. also Oppenheimer et al. 2010; Brook et al. 2013). This is also true for our models (solid lines in Fig. 8). For both, WFB and SFB, the recycling times peak at $\Delta t_{\text{cyc}} < 1$ Gyr but the SFB model shows a prominent extended wing towards longer delay times, up to 11 Gyrs. The filled histograms indicate the delay time distribution of gas particles which have been re-accreted within the last Gigayear of galactic evolution. It is interesting to see that some gas expelled from the galaxy, in a merger event more than 8 Gyrs ago, has been accreted only recently. This association of merger activity and recent re-accretion of gas can also be seen nicely in Fig. B2, where we show the same plot for halo 1646. We find recent re-accretion peaks for gas with $\Delta t_{\text{cyc}} \sim 2$ and ~ 4.5 Gyrs that was ejected in merger events.

We define the maximum travel distance of the cycling gas particles as the difference of the maximum distance of the particle from the galactic center during the cycle and the distance it had right before its ejection, $\Delta r_{\text{cyc}} = r_{\text{eject}} - r_{\text{max}}$. Therefore, cycling particles can have negative values of Δr_{cyc} if they migrate inwards and are not moved away from the galactic center more than r_{eject} during the cycling. This applies to nearly half of the cycling particles in WFB and to around 20 per cent of the particles in SFB. In the strong feedback model about an order of magnitude more gas (at all radii) is in the recycling mode. Nevertheless, a very high fraction of the cycling gas in both models stays within 10 kpc, namely 97 per cent for WFB and 86 per cent for SFB, as can be seen in the top panel of Fig. 7 as well as in Fig. 9. In the SFB model, however, some of the cycling particles can travel as far as 300kpc, i.e. beyond the virial radius, whereas in the weak feedback case this mode is limited to the inner 150kpc. The escaping gas (filled regions in Fig. 9) can travel much larger distances indicating that with strong feedback a single galaxy can have contributed to metal enrichment in regions that are more than 1 Mpc away.




Figure 10. Travel distance as a function of ejection time for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). Individual particles are shown as grey dots, the coloured regions indicate the respective fractions at the indicated levels. In SFB, the particles travel farther and merger events are reflected by travel distance peaks.

In addition, for SFB the gas travels significantly larger distances at earlier times, as compared to the WFB model. Certainly, larger travel distances at earlier times are in part caused by the fact that recently ejected particles cannot have reached large distances before being re-accreted due to limited velocities (but see Fig. B1 for an example of higher travel distances at later times, due to strong merger activity). In Fig. 10 the travel distances of the cycling gas particles are plotted as a function of cosmic time. In the SFB model (right panel) there is a concentration of cycling particles with relatively high travel distances between 4 and 5.5 Gyr. This peak is associated with the aforementioned merger event and some of the ejected gas particles need more than 8 Gyrs to return to the galactic disc. They can be seen in Fig. 8 as a part of the bump around 8 Gyr made up of those particles in SFB that are accreted within the last Gigayear. Those signatures of merger events can be seen more distinctly in some of the other haloes, cf. Figs. A1, B1.

4.3 Evolution of angular momentum

When a gas particle enters the galactic disc its angular momentum j_z is recorded. For the SFB model (right panel in the top row of Fig. 11) we find that the angular momentum of first accreted gas particles continuously increases with time, consistent with a cosmological inside-out growth (e.g. Mo, Mao & White 1998). This might be delayed by merger activity (cf. e.g. top panel of Fig. A3). The concentration of first accreted particles with relatively low angular momentum ($< 1000 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$) around 5 Gyr is again a clear signature of the merger event, which is also visible for WFB in the left panel. The steady growth of angular momentum of first accreted particles with time is to some extent also detectable in WFB, but it is much weaker and leads to the old problem of the angular momentum catastrophe (Navarro & Benz 1991). For both models, first accretion peaks at early times (the first 6 Gyrs, see also the top panel of Fig. 7). The middle panels of Fig. 11 show the same analysis for re-

accreted particles. In WFB there is little late re-accretion, whereas re-accretion of high angular momentum gas dominates the accretion for SFB. Note that this phenomenon is connected to the fact that for halo 0977 at late times in the strong feedback model stars are forming almost exclusively at large radii ($r > 10 \text{ kpc}$) and there is almost no central star formation (see also Aumer et al. 2014). The late accretion of high angular momentum gas clearly favours the formation of extended galactic discs (see Aumer et al. 2013).

We also record the j_z value of a gas particle when the particle leaves the disc. In the bottom row of Fig. 11 we plot the j_z values of gas particles which are ejected from the galactic disc by $z = 0$ as a function of ejection time. In WFB particles are often ejected at late times, mostly caused by regular mergers (for halo 0977). This is in contrast to SFB, where gas is in general ejected at early times, also with low angular momentum, when the potential well is still shallow. The predominant ejection of low angular momentum gas in the SFB model is also shown in Fig. 14, where we plot a comparison of the angular momentum of expelled gas (red dashed) and of the angular momentum of the gas residing in the disc at $z = 0$ (black) for the SFB model. Ejected gas has predominantly low angular momentum with a median of $1700 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$, while the average value for present-day disc gas is $\sim 2600 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$ (see also Fig. B6, and Fig. C3 for an even more distinct case). This is in agreement with Brook et al. (2011) for SFB. (The enhanced ejection of high angular momentum gas during the last Gigayear in SFB is unique for halo 0977 and due to the ongoing merger at $z = 0$ which warps the galactic disc.)

We can now determine whether cycling particles gain or lose angular momentum during their journey through the galaxy. Most of the time the angular momentum of the gas particles does not change significantly during their cycles (Fig. 12). The ranges of j_z of the cycling particles are very different with $j_{z,\text{max}} \sim 3000 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$ in WFB and $j_{z,\text{max}} \sim 5000 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$ in SFB. This underlines again one of the central effects of the strong feedback implemen-




Figure 11. Top panel: Angular momentum in the z -direction for first-time accreted particles (onto the disc) as a function of accretion time for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). There is a clear trend for more late accretion of higher angular momentum gas in SFB as compared to WFB. This promotes disc formation. Middle panel: Same as the top panel but for re-accreted particles as a function of accretion time for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). In contrast to WFB, accretion of recycled particles is the dominant accretion mode after $z \sim 1$ for SFB. This is mainly driven by star formation activity, as most particles cycle close to the galaxy. This accretion mode also promotes the formation of galactic discs. Bottom panel: Same as the top panel but now for particles that are ejected from the disc by $z = 0$ as a function of time of ejection for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). With strong feedback (right, SFB), a significant fraction of the gas is ejected at early times, with low angular momentum. Again, the early ejection of low angular momentum promotes disc formation.




Figure 12. Angular momentum at ejection, $j_{z,\text{eject}}$ vs. angular momentum at accretion, $j_{z,\text{acc}}$ for cycling gas particles for WFB (left panel) and for SFB (right panel). In general, the cycling gas in SFB has higher angular momentum both at ejection and accretion. Most particles keep their angular momentum. Apparently, some fraction of the gas particles in SFB (right) can gain angular momentum (as opposed to some loss for WFB), in particular when ejected at low angular momentum.




Figure 13. Angular momentum change $\Delta j_z = j_{z,\text{acc}} - j_{z,\text{eject}}$ as a function of travel distance for SFB. There is a clear trend that particles expelled to large radii (> 50 kpc) on average gain angular momentum.

tation, i.e. the enhanced (re-)accretion of gas at late times, that was already shown in Figs. 7 (top panel) and 11 (upper panels) and which is seen for all studied haloes. In WFB there is a weak trend that some particles lose angular momentum. In SFB much more high angular momentum gas is cycling and there is a trend that, in particular, some of the gas ejected with low angular momentum gains angular momentum during the cycling process.

If this gain in angular momentum originates from a direct influence of the environment, e.g. the homogenisation of the angular momentum of cycling particles with that of the hot corona gas (Brook et al. 2012a) or cosmic torques, we would expect that gas particles that travel far would gain more angular momentum. This is indeed true, as shown in Fig. 13, where we plot the gain/loss of angular momentum ($\Delta j_z = j_{z,\text{acc}} - j_{z,\text{eject}}$) as a function of travel distance for the SFB model. Particles moving further than 50 kpc only




Figure 14. The angular momentum distribution of disc gas particles at $z = 0$ (black) and the angular momentum distribution for all particles that have been ejected from the disc at $z = 4$ to the present day (red) for SFB. Ejected gas has predominantly low angular momentum as compared to the angular momentum of present-day disc gas.

gain angular momentum. This is the case, for instance, for those particles which are part of the bump in Fig. 8 of lately re-accreted particles with recycling times around 8 Gyr (also visible as the peak between 4 and 5.5 Gyr in Fig. 10). All cycling gas particles originating from this early merger gained angular momentum during the recycling process and appear in the upper left part in the right panel of Fig. 12. The WFB simulation is unaffected by this process as most particles travel much less than 50 kpc. The trends towards the gain of angular momentum connected to travel distance in the SFB model are even stronger for the other haloes, especially for Aquarius B (cf. Fig. C2).

All the above processes (i) inefficient conversion into stars, (ii) high rates of first accreted gas and delayed ac-

cretion of recycled gas at high angular momentum, (iii) the mild angular momentum gain of gas cycling at large radii and (iv) the predominant ejection of low angular momentum gas, favour the formation of an extended disc in the model with strong feedback.

5 SUMMARY

We present a comparative study of the gas assembly histories for five cosmological galaxy zoom-in simulations ($M_{\text{vir}} = 6.9 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ to $1.7 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$) each carried out twice, once with weak (WFB), and once with strong feedback (SFB) from massive stars. We summarize characteristic quantities of those simulations in Table 1. The relative amount of stellar and gas accretion at different redshifts and the angular momentum of the accreted gas determine whether stars form and assemble in a spheroid (low angular momentum) or a disc (high angular momentum). We focus on the detailed evolutionary histories of the baryonic components since $z = 4$ and track accretion onto the halos and accretion and ejection from the galaxies, as well as the full evolution of the disc gas angular momentum during ejection and accretion.

In the WFB simulations (see e.g. Oser et al. 2010; Naab et al. 2013) the early ($z > 1$) conversion of low angular momentum gas into stars in the galaxies and in the accreted substructures is favoured. This leads to the formation of systems with a high stellar-to-halo mass-ratio (Oser et al. 2010), relatively low angular momentum, and a significant spheroidal component (Johansson, Naab & Ostriker 2012; Naab et al. 2013). There is little late accretion of gas, which could form a (more extended) disc-like component (see also Serra et al. 2014). Overall, the behaviour reflects the well documented angular momentum ‘problem’ or ‘catastrophe’ (e.g. Navarro & Steinmetz 2000).

Simulations starting from identical initial conditions using a strong feedback implementation show different behaviour and the galaxies better resemble the observed population of present-day spiral galaxies (Aumer et al. 2013). The early conversion of low angular momentum gas into stars is - in agreement with abundance matching estimates - significantly suppressed. This leads to higher gas fractions at all redshifts and to flat star formation histories with less star formation at high redshift and more star formation at low redshift. This is a common feature of models with strong stellar feedback either directly coupled to the surrounding ISM (see e.g. Stinson et al. 2010; Piontek & Steinmetz 2011; Aumer et al. 2013; Stinson et al. 2013) or decoupled from the local ISM in a wind mode (see e.g. Oppenheimer & Davé 2008; Oppenheimer et al. 2010; Marinacci, Pakmor & Springel 2014; Hirschmann et al. 2013; Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Torrey et al. 2014). Present-day gas is arranged in an extended disc. Most galactic stars in the SFB model form within the galaxy from disc gas, so the stellar component forms a disc and its angular momentum is a poor reflection of that of the dark matter halo.

The gas accretion rates onto the central regions of the halo and the galactic disc are in general significantly higher in the SFB model (5-15 times). At early times ($z > 1$) the accretion rates are dominated by first accreted gas, while the accretion of recycled gas becomes dominant at late times

($z < 1$). The recycling of disc gas is an important feature of the SFB model with 50-60 per cent of the accreted gas mass participating in this process and thus forming a galactic fountain (Oppenheimer & Davé 2008). Also, the high gas accretion rates at lower redshift decouple from the declining dark matter accretion (see Hirschmann et al. 2013). In general, the angular momentum of first accreted as well as recycled gas increases significantly towards low redshift (see also Brook et al. 2012a), resembling (for first accreted gas) an inside-out growth of the disc. This process might be influenced or delayed through mergers at early times.

A significant fraction (25-30 per cent) of the accreted disc gas is ejected and does not return by the present day. Confirming previous studies (Brook et al. 2011) this gas is predominantly ejected at high redshift ($z > 1$) - when the potential wells of the proto-galaxies are still shallow - and has low angular momentum. The predominant ejection of low angular momentum gas has long been proposed as a possible solution to the angular momentum problem (Binney, Gerhard & Silk 2001; Maller & Dekel 2002; D’Onghia et al. 2006; Dutton & van den Bosch 2009) and the promoting effect for the formation of discs has been confirmed by cosmological simulations (Governato et al. 2010; Brook et al. 2011). The ejected low angular momentum gas can by $z = 0$ reach distances from the galaxy of the order of $\gtrsim 1$ Mpc and can thus contribute to metal enrichment of the IGM.

The efficient ejection of low angular momentum gas also prevents conversion into stars even in major galaxy mergers. Mergers can trigger angular momentum loss of gas and have long been considered a major problem for disc galaxy formation (e.g. Barnes & Hernquist 1996). Only recently has there been growing evidence that - provided efficient star formation is suppressed and/or the feedback is sufficiently strong - gas-rich early mergers are less problematic (Springel & Hernquist 2005; Robertson et al. 2006; Hopkins et al. 2009; Governato et al. 2009; Moster et al. 2011) and are to some degree even required to explain the structural evolution of the disc galaxy population (Aumer, White & Naab 2014; see e.g. Hammer et al. 2005 for observational evidence of disc reformation in the last 8 Gyrs after major mergers).

The angular momentum of cycling gas particles is in general conserved, due to short typical travel distances (less than 10 kpc for 60-85 per cent of the gas) and short recycling times (< 1 Gyr). Some smaller fraction (~ 10 per cent) of cycling gas - mostly ejected during early turbulent phases of merging - gains more than $1000 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$ before its re-accretion, eventually through mixing with the hot corona gas (Brook et al. 2012a) or from cosmic torques. However, if gas particles leave the disc for long times (> 1 Gyr) and travel large distances ($\gtrsim 50$ -100 kpc), then they always gain angular momentum before re-accretion. However, this gas contributes little (< 3 per cent) to the total gas accretion. Nonetheless, the general trend towards larger travel distances and longer recycling times in SFB as compared to WFB is strong.

All the above processes resulting from strong stellar feedback favour the formation of extended galactic discs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

TN and MA acknowledge support from the DFG excellence cluster ‘Origin and Structure of the Universe’.

REFERENCES

- Abadi M. G., Navarro J. F., Steinmetz M., Eke V. R., 2003, *ApJ*, 597, 21
- Agertz O., Teyssier R., Moore B., 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 1391
- Aumer M., White S., Naab T., 2014, submitted to *MNRAS*
- Aumer M., White S. D. M., Naab T., Scannapieco C., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 3142
- Barnes J. E., 1992, *ApJ*, 393, 484
- Barnes J. E., Hernquist L., 1992, *ARAA*, 30, 705
- Barnes J. E., Hernquist L., 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 115
- Binney J., Gerhard O., Silk J., 2001, *MNRAS*, 321, 471
- Boylan-Kolchin M., Springel V., White S. D. M., Jenkins A., Lemson G., 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 1150
- Brook C. B. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 1051
- Brook C. B., Stinson G., Gibson B. K., Roškar R., Wadsley J., Quinn T., 2012a, *MNRAS*, 419, 771
- Brook C. B., Stinson G., Gibson B. K., Shen S., Macciò A. V., Wadsley J., Quinn T., 2013, *ArXiv e-prints* 1306.5766
- Brook C. B., Stinson G., Gibson B. K., Wadsley J., Quinn T., 2012b, *MNRAS*, 424, 1275
- Christensen C., Quinn T., Governato F., Stilp A., Shen S., Wadsley J., 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 3058
- Dalla Vecchia C., Schaye J., 2012, *MNRAS*, 426, 140
- Dekel A., Silk J., 1986, *ApJ*, 303, 39
- D’Onghia E., Burkert A., Murante G., Khochfar S., 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 1525
- Dutton A. A., van den Bosch F. C., 2009, *MNRAS*, 396, 141
- Dutton A. A., van den Bosch F. C., Dekel A., Courteau S., 2007, *ApJ*, 654, 27
- Fall S. M., 1979, *Nature*, 281, 200
- Ford A. B., Davé R., Oppenheimer B. D., Neal K., Kollmeier J. A., Thompson R., Weinberg D. H., 2013, *ArXiv e-prints* 1309.5951
- Genzel R. et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 687, 59
- Governato F. et al., 2010, *Nature*, 463, 203
- Governato F. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 312
- Governato F. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 607, 688
- Grimes J. P. et al., 2009, *ApJ Supplement*, 181, 272
- Guedes J., Callegari S., Madau P., Mayer L., 2011, *ApJ*, 742, 76
- Guo Q., White S., Li C., Boylan-Kolchin M., 2010, *MNRAS*, 404, 1111
- Haardt F., Madau P., 1996, *ApJ*, 461, 20
- Hammer F., Flores H., Elbaz D., Zheng X. Z., Liang Y. C., Cesarsky C., 2005, *A&A*, 430, 115
- Hanasz M., Lesch H., Naab T., Gawryszczak A., Kowalik K., Wóltański D., 2013, *ApJL*, 777, L38
- Heavens A., Panter B., Jimenez R., Dunlop J., 2004, *Nature*, 428, 625
- Heckman T. M., 2003, in *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica*, vol. 27, Vol. 17, *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica Conference Series*, Avila-Reese V., Firmani C., Frenk C. S., Allen C., eds., pp. 47–55
- Hirschmann M. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 2929
- Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Younger J. D., Hernquist L., 2009, *ApJ*, 691, 1168
- Hopkins P. F., Narayanan D., Murray N., 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 2647
- Hopkins P. F., Quataert E., Murray N., 2012, *MNRAS*, 421, 3488
- Johansson P. H., Naab T., Ostriker J. P., 2012, *ApJ*, 754, 115
- Larson R. B., 1974, *MNRAS*, 169, 229
- Maller A. H., Dekel A., 2002, *MNRAS*, 335, 487
- Marinacci F., Pakmor R., Springel V., 2014, *MNRAS*, 437, 1750
- Marri S., White S. D. M., 2003, *MNRAS*, 345, 561
- Martin C. L., 1999, *ApJ*, 513, 156
- Mo H. J., Mao S., White S. D. M., 1998, *MNRAS*, 295, 319
- Moster B. P., Macciò A. V., Somerville R. S., Naab T., Cox T. J., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 3750
- Moster B. P., Naab T., White S. D. M., 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 3121
- Moster B. P., Somerville R. S., Maubetsch C., van den Bosch F. C., Macciò A. V., Naab T., Oser L., 2010, *ApJ*, 710, 903
- Naab T., Johansson P. H., Ostriker J. P., 2009, *ApJL*, 699, L178
- Naab T. et al., 2013, *ArXiv e-prints* 1311.0284
- Navarro J. F., Benz W., 1991, *ApJ*, 380, 320
- Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1995, *MNRAS*, 275, 56
- Navarro J. F., Steinmetz M., 2000, *ApJ*, 538, 477
- Okamoto T., Eke V. R., Frenk C. S., Jenkins A., 2005, *MNRAS*, 363, 1299
- Oppenheimer B. D., Davé R., 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 577
- Oppenheimer B. D., Davé R., Kereš D., Fardal M., Katz N., Kollmeier J. A., Weinberg D. H., 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 2325
- Oser L., Naab T., Ostriker J. P., Johansson P. H., 2012, *ApJ*, 744, 63
- Oser L., Ostriker J. P., Naab T., Johansson P. H., Burkert A., 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 2312
- Peeples M. S., Shankar F., 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 2962
- Piontek F., Steinmetz M., 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 2625
- Robertson B., Bullock J. S., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., Springel V., Yoshida N., 2006, *ApJ*, 645, 986
- Robertson B. E., Kravtsov A. V., 2008, *ApJ*, 680, 1083
- Saintonge A. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 32
- Sales L. V., Navarro J. F., Schaye J., Dalla Vecchia C., Springel V., Booth C. M., 2010, *MNRAS*, 409, 1541
- Scannapieco C., Tissera P. B., White S. D. M., Springel V., 2005, *MNRAS*, 364, 552
- Scannapieco C., Tissera P. B., White S. D. M., Springel V., 2006, *MNRAS*, 371, 1125
- Scannapieco C., Tissera P. B., White S. D. M., Springel V., 2008, *MNRAS*, 389, 1137
- Scannapieco C. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 423, 1726
- Scannapieco C., White S. D. M., Springel V., Tissera P. B., 2009, *MNRAS*, 396, 696
- Serra P. et al., 2014, *ArXiv e-prints* 1401.3180
- Shapiro P. R., Field G. B., 1976, *ApJ*, 205, 762
- Simcoe R. A., Sargent W. L. W., Rauch M., Becker G., 2006, *ApJ*, 637, 648
- Sommer-Larsen J., Gelato S., Vedel H., 1999, *ApJ*, 519, 501

- Springel V., 2005, MNRAS, 364, 1105
- Springel V., Hernquist L., 2003, MNRAS, 339, 289
- Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, ApJL, 622, L9
- Springel V. et al., 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1685
- Steidel C. C., Erb D. K., Shapley A. E., Pettini M., Reddy N., Bogosavljević M., Rudie G. C., Rakic O., 2010, ApJ, 717, 289
- Stinson G. S., Bailin J., Couchman H., Wadsley J., Shen S., Nickerson S., Brook C., Quinn T., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 812
- Stinson G. S., Brook C., Macciò A. V., Wadsley J., Quinn T. R., Couchman H. M. P., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 129
- Sturm E. et al., 2011, ApJL, 733, L16
- Thacker R. J., Couchman H. M. P., 2001, ApJL, 555, L17
- Theuns T., Mo H. J., Schaye J., 2001, MNRAS, 321, 450
- Torrey P., Vogelsberger M., Genel S., Sijacki D., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2014, MNRAS
- Vogelsberger M., Genel S., Sijacki D., Torrey P., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 3031
- Wang J. et al., 2014, ArXiv e-prints 1401.8164
- Weil M. L., Eke V. R., Efstathiou G., 1998, MNRAS, 300, 773
- White S. D. M., Rees M. J., 1978, MNRAS, 183, 341

APPENDIX A: HALO 1192

The evolution of halo 1192 with the strong feedback model is characterized by several gas rich minor mergers, especially between $z = 1.1$ and $z = 0.4$, which have great influence on some galaxy properties we want to show. The travel distances are on average higher (cf. Fig. A1) as compared to halo 0977, leading to a higher fraction of angular momentum gain (cf. Fig. A2), while the early inside-out growth of the galaxy is prevented by the merger period (cf. top panel in Fig. A3). In the weak feedback model, there is some halo interaction and misaligned gas accretion at late times.

mass [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$]	WFB	SFB
total accreted stars	9.02	0.70
total accreted gas	6.78	22.29
first accreted gas	5.97	13.08
• % cycling	1	5
• % not cycling	4	4
• % condensed within r_{15}	59	49
• % ejected by $z = 0$	36	42

Table A1. Respective masses of the different accretion modes onto r_{15} as shown for halo 0977 in Fig. 6 and Table 3. The amount of condensed star mass in SFB is higher compared to halo 0977.




Figure A1. Compare Fig. 10. Travel distance as a function of ejection time for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). Individual particles are shown as grey dots, the coloured regions indicate the respective fractions at the indicated levels. In SFB there are continuous gas rich minor mergers that cause the ejection of gas to relatively large radii ($\gtrsim 50$ kpc).




Figure A2. Compare Fig. 12. Angular momentum at ejection $j_{z,eject}$ vs. angular momentum at accretion $j_{z,acc}$ of cycling gas particles for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). The connection between on average longer travel distances (cf. Fig. A1) and more angular momentum gain is visible.




Figure A3. Compare Fig. 11. Top panel: Angular momentum in z -direction of first-time accreted particles as a function of accretion time for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel). Caused by the merger activity until ~ 10 Gyr in the SFB model the gas particles are accreted with low angular momentum (see Aumer et al. 2014). For the WFB run, the impact of halo interaction and misaligned gas accretion can be seen after $z \sim 1$. Middle panel: Same as top panel but for re-accreted particles as a function of accretion time for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel) (cf. middle panel of Fig. 11). During the merger period, star formation is concentrated towards the center and gas particles are ejected and re-accreted with relatively low angular momentum in SFB. Bottom panel: Same as top panel but now for particles that are ejected from the disc by $z = 0$ as a function of the time of ejection for WFB (left panel) and SFB (right panel).

APPENDIX B: HALO 1646

The assembly history of halo 1646 is particularly interesting as it experiences a dramatic change in the angular momentum orientation of infalling gas starting at $z \sim 0.7$ (cf. Fig. B3), leading in the SFB model to the formation of a young counter-rotating stellar disc that lives on top of the older stellar disc (for more details, see Aumer et al. 2013; 2014), while the gaseous disc flips completely. Subsequent accretion of gas onto the central regions of the galaxy triggers star formation and with it ejection of gas up to large radii (cf. Fig. B1), giving cause to above average re-accretion of particles with recycling times between 1 and 5 Gyrs within the last Gyr (cf. Fig. B2 and middle panel of Fig. B3). There is significant angular momentum gain of cycling particles belonging to the old co-rotating disc, as well as significant angular momentum loss of those particles that are re-accreted after $z \sim 0.7$ (cf. Figs. B4 and B5). The galactic evolution is reflected in the differing angular momentum distribution of present-day and by $z = 0$ ejected galactic disc gas particles (cf. Fig. B6).

mass [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$]	WFB	SFB
total accreted stars	5.99	0.41
total accreted gas	6.01	11.23
first accreted gas	5.05	7.15
• % cycling	1	12
• % not cycling	5	6
• % condensed within r_{15}	51	29
• % ejected at $z = 0$	43	53

Table B1. Compare Fig. 6 and Table 3.




Figure B1. Compare Fig. 10. The peak at ~ 4.5 Gyr in the SFB model (right panel) is caused by a merger event. There are no mergers at 9 and 11 Gyrs but accretion of gas particles to the central regions (cf. top panel of Fig. B3) which triggers star formation.




Figure B2. Compare Fig. 8. Recycling times for WFB (blue) and SFB (green) in Gigayears. Particle mass which is re-accreted within the last Gigayear is shown as the filled areas. The fraction of particles with $1 \text{ Gyr} < \Delta t_{\text{cyc}} < 5 \text{ Gyrs}$ is very high, corresponding to the ejection of particles between 8 and 12 Gyrs (cf. Fig. B1).




Figure B3. Compare Fig 11. Top panel: There is a drastic change in the angular momentum orientation of the accreted gas in SFB starting from ~ 7 Gyr, which is to some extent also visible in WFB. For SFB, it leads to pure counter-rotating infall after 12 Gyr (compare discussion in Aumer et al. 2013; 2014). Middle panel: The angular momentum direction of re-accreted gas changes corresponding to that of first accreted gas. Bottom panel: Also for gas that is ejected by $z = 0$ is the re-orientation of the angular momentum vector visible.




Figure B4. Compare Fig. 12. For both models the re-orientation of the angular momentum of (re-)accreted gas is distinguishable.




Figure B5. Compare Fig. 13. Angular momentum change $\Delta j_z = j_{z,\text{acc}} - j_{z,\text{eject}}$ as a function of travel distance for SFB. Through the re-orientation of the angular momentum of the cycling gas particles in SFB the distribution of the data points is mirrored on the horizontal axis in contrast to the other haloes: Long travel distances are correlated with either angular momentum gain (before $z \sim 0.7$) or loss (after $z \sim 0.7$) with respect to the original orientation of the gas disc.




Figure B6. Compare Fig. 14. Angular momentum distribution of disc gas particles at $z = 0$ (black) and of finally ejected particles (red; at ejection) for SFB. The re-orientation of the gas disc is obvious, where the present-day gas disc is counter-rotating, contrary to most of the ejected gas in previous times.

APPENDIX C: HALO AQUARIUS B

Halo Aquarius B experiences a major merger at $z \sim 1.1$ in the SFB model after which starts the inside-out growth of the galaxy (not shown here). The merger event is reflected in a distinct peak of angular momentum gain of initially low angular momentum gas particles (cf. Figs. C1 and C2). The ejection of low angular momentum gas particles primarily during the early (merger) phase of the SFB galaxy results in a particular angular momentum distribution of present-day and at $z = 0$ ejected disc gas particles (cf. Fig. C3).

mass [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$]	WFB	SFB
total accreted stars	6.35	0.65
total accreted gas	3.19	14.15
first accreted gas	2.59	7.03
• % cycling	2	11
• % not cycling	6	4
• % condensed within r_{15}	52	33
• % ejected by $z = 0$	40	52

Table C1. Compare Fig. 6 and Table 3.




Figure C1. Compare Fig. 12. For WFB we can see the signature of counter-rotation gas particles. There is also a trend towards angular momentum loss. Opposed to that, there is a clear trend towards angular momentum gain of low angular momentum gas particles during the recycling process in SFB, connected to long travel distances of gas particles involved in a major merger.




Figure C2. Compare Fig. 13. The trend towards angular momentum gain for particles in SFB with travel distances above a certain threshold as described in the main text (cf. Section 4.2) is particularly clear in this figure.




Figure C3. Compare Fig. 14.

APPENDIX D: HALO AQUARIUS D

Halo Aquarius D is special in the presented set of haloes because of its quiescent evolution after $z = 0.4$ and its low accretion rates at late times. This can be seen in Figs. D1 and D2. Note that irrespective of that, the re-accretion of particles dominates over first accretion since $z = 1$. There is much star formation (cf. Table D1) especially before $z = 0.4$ which causes relatively high average travel distances of cycling gas particles (cf. filled green area in Fig. D1).




Figure D1. Compare top panel of Fig. 7. Gas accretion rate onto the disc separated into first accretion (solid lines) and re-accretion (dashed lines; filled regions for particles with $\Delta r_{\text{cyc}} > 10$ kpc) as a function of cosmic time and redshift for WFB (blue) and SFB (green).

mass [$10^{10} M_{\odot}$]	WFB	SFB
total accreted stars	11.99	1.10
total accreted gas	7.48	25.81
first accreted gas	6.25	16.23
• % cycling	2	2
• % not cycling	4	2
• % condensed within r_{15}	46	45
• % ejected by $z = 0$	48	52

Table D1. Compare Fig. 6 and Table 3. The amount of condensed stellar mass in SFB is higher as compared to halo 0977.




Figure D2. Compare Fig. 8. As can be also seen in Fig. D1, there is little late re-accretion in SFB (green filled area).